Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/523,101	BAGALA' RAMPAZZO ET AL.	MPAZZO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL E. NELSON	1794		

	MICHAEL E. NELSON	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 1 set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belc) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will will will will will will below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xpianation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 13.14.16 and 17. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.15.18-21.25.26.28 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)